IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYRNA AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No.1512 of 2005
Date of Decision:- 11.09.2006

Som Nath ....Petitioner

through
Mr.Harinder Sharma, Advocate

vs.

Sadhu Singh Randhawa ....Respondent

through
Mr.G.S.Cheema, Sr.DAG, Punjab

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

***

SURYA KANT, J.

The petitioner filed C.W.P.No.6373 of 2005, which was disposed of by this Court on 26.4.2005 with a direction to take a final decision on his representation by passing a reasoned speaking order and taking into consideration the previous decisions rendered by this Court.

Alleging non-compliance of the aforesaid order, this contempt petition has been filed.

In response to the show cause notice, an affidavit has been filed by Harcharanjit Kaur Brar, DPI (S.E.), Punjab, which is taken on record. Along with the said affidavit, she has appended a Government order dated May 29, 2006 vide which the policy decision to count the ad hoc services rendered by the employees towards retiral benefits has been taken. A copy of the order dated 14.6.2006 has also been appended as Annexure R-3 whereby the District Education Officer has sent the revised pension case of the petitioner to the Accountant General (A&E), Punjab in the light of the Government instructions so that the benefit of ad hoc service rendered by

him can be granted towards his retiral benefits.

In this view of the matter and having regard to the fact that the directions issued by this Court have been complied with by the respondents, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Accountant General (A&E), Punjab to expedite the disposal of revised pension case of the petitioner, which has been submitted to him by the District Education Officer (S.E.), Faridkot, as early as possible but not later than two months.

Rule discharged.

September 11, 2006 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**